Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 50775 Northline Enterprises Inc. dba Quick Food Store 19 RN101791770 Docket No. 2015-1011-PST-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

PST

Small Business:

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Quick Food Store 19, 204 East Little York Road, Houston, Harris County

Type of Operation:

Convenience store with retail sales of gasoline

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: October 23, 2015

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$18,106

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$3,621 Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$415
Total Due to General Revenue: \$14,070
Payment Plan: 35 payments of \$402 each

Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP") Conditional Offset: \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - High Site/RN - High

Major Source: No Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: April 2014

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: May 7, 2015

Date(s) of NOE(s): June 7, 2015

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 50775 Northline Enterprises Inc. dba Quick Food Store 19 RN101791770 Docket No. 2015-1011-PST-E

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to monitor the underground storage tanks ("USTs") for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring). Specifically, Statistical Inventory Reconciliations ("SIR") for January 2015 through March 2015 were not conducted until May 11, 2015 [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1)].
- 2. Failed to report a suspected release to the TCEQ within 24 hours of discovery. Specifically, SIR for January 2015 indicated a suspected release that was not reported [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.72].
- 3. Failed to investigate a suspected release of regulated substance within 30 days of discovery. Specifically, SIR for January 2015 indicated a suspected release that was not investigated [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.74].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

N/A

Technical Requirements:

The Order will require the Respondent to:

- a. Within 30 days:
- i. Implement a method of release detection for the USTs;
- ii. Establish and implement a process for reporting suspected releases; and
- iii. Conduct an investigation of the suspected release and implement appropriate corrective measures.
- b. Within 45 days, submit written certification to demonstrate compliance with a.

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A Hearing Date(s): N/A Settlement Date: N/A

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 50775 Northline Enterprises Inc. dba Quick Food Store 19 RN101791770 Docket No. 2015-1011-PST-E

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Rebecca Boyett, Enforcement Division,

Enforcement Team 7, MC 128, (512) 239-2503; Melissa Cordell, Enforcement Division,

MC 219, (512) 239-2483

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A

Respondent: Nisar Momin, Manager, Quick Food Store 19, 204 East Little York Road,

Houston, Texas 77076

Rajab Ali Momin, President, Northline Enterprises Inc., 5119 Beacon Point, Sugar Land,

Texas 77479

Respondent's Attorney: N/A

Po

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

Po	licy Revision 4 (Ap	oril 2014)					PCW Revis	ion March 26, 2014
rceq								
DATES	Assigned		4	rza ; aaza1			1	
art artestastes to a set altastestaste (a fact a fact a destination	PCW	21-Jul-2015	_ Screeni	ng 18-Jun-2015	EPA Due	·	L	
RESPONI	DENT/FACTIT	TY INFORMAT	TON					
				dba Quick Food Sto	ore 19			
Reg.	Ent. Ref. No.		E		***************************************		<u></u>	
	/Site Region				Major/I	Minor Source	Minor	
CASE IN	ORMATION							
	/Case ID No.	50775			No.	of Violations	3	
		2015-1011-PST				Order Type		
Media		Petroleum Stor	age Tank			t/Non-Profit		
	Multi-Media				Enf.		Rebecca Boyett	
Admi	n. Penalty \$ I	imit Minimum	\$0	Maximum	\$25,000	EC's Team	Enforcement Team	1/
			: I T					
			Pen	alty Calcula	tion Secti	on		
TOTAL	BASE PENA	LTY (Sum o	f violatio	on base penalt	ies)		Subtotal 1	\$20,000
		/-) TO SUBT						
	and the decimal consists and a second consists and a		ng the Total B	ase Penalty (Subtotal 1	Control de la contrata del contrata del contrata de la contrata del la contrata de la contrata del la contrata de la contrata			#3.000
· ·	ompliance Hi	story		-10.0%	Reduction	Supto	tals 2, 3, & 7	-\$2,000
	Notes		Reduction f	for High Performer	classification.			
	Notes		readction	or riigir remornier	cidoonii.			
		No	100000000000000000000000000000000000000				Subtotal 4	\$0
*	ulpability	No	L	0.0%	Enhancement			70
	Notes	The R	Respondent	does not meet the	culpability crit	eria.		
					•		·	
				en aanstalaan on en en skalen kan op 'n beskel on op 'n beskel het de beskel beskel beskel beskel beskel beskel	validation version in the			
G	ood Faith Effe	ort to Comply	Total Adju	stments			Subtotal 5	\$0
E	conomic Bene	efit		0.0%	Enhancement*		Subtotal 6	\$0
	de un character considerations	Total EB Amounts			f at the Total EB \$	Amount	of or <u>uncontained to the state</u>	
	Estimated	Cost of Compliance	\$6,700					
~****	- 01107074					24.444.144 4.144		¢10 000
SUM UI	SUBTOTAL	LS 1-/				*1	inal Subtotal	\$18,000
OTUED	EACTODE A	e mettee	MAY DEC	withe [0.6%			\$106
		Subtotal by the inc			0.6%]		Adjustment	7100
				· ·				
	Notes	Recommende		ent to capture the		r compliance		
			assoc	ciated with violation	1 no. 2.			
	•					Final Pen	alty Amount	\$18,106
1032719908119922244	aanaan aan in				\$\$\$\$\$00aV1\u0000000000000000000000	11111434 (4.1 основнувания каканска		
STATU	TORY LIMIT	T ADJUSTME	:NT			Final Asse:	ssed Penalty	\$18,106
****				Г	~~~~	Sinisiperiyang parilikas		62.634
DEFER		nateu bu tha indi	ad narcasta	. (Enter number only;	20.0%	Reduction	Adjustment	-\$3,621
Reduces the	rinai Assessed Pe	naity by the indicat	eu percentage	. (Enter number only)	e.y. 20 101 20% Fe	duction. j		
	Notes	to the figure to the first product	Deferral of	fered for expedited	l settlement.	11		o Conseque

PAYABLE PENALTY

\$14,485

Docket No. 2015-1011-PST-E

PCW Policy Revision 4 (April 2014)

PCW Revision March 26, 2014

Respondent Northline Enterprises Inc. dba Quick Food Store 19

Case ID No. 50775

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101791770

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Rebecca Boyett

ten notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria) er written NOVs agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of ers meeting criteria) adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders tout a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal ernment, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a fial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments consent decrees meeting criteria) adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated active for the federal government or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state the federal government criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of ents) onic excessive emissions events (number of events) ers notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the as Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature,	0 0 0 0	0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%
agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of ers meeting criteria) adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders tout a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal ernment, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a final of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments consent decrees meeting criteria) adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated a court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state the federal government criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of ents) conic excessive emissions events (number of events) ers notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the lass Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature,	0 0 0 0	0% 0% 0% 0%
adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders rout a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal ternment, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a fial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments consent decrees meeting criteria) adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state the federal government criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of nots) onic excessive emissions events (number of events) ers notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the lass Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature,	0 0 0	0% 0% 0%
rout a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal ernment, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a fial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments consent decrees meeting criteria) adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated if court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state he federal government criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of ints) onic excessive emissions events (number of events) ers notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the as Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature,	0 0 0	0%
ial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments onsent decrees meeting criteria) adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state he federal government criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of nts) onic excessive emissions events (number of events) ers notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the as Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature,	0 0 0	0%
I court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state he federal government criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of nts) onic excessive emissions events (number of events) ers notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the as Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature,	0 0	0%
onic excessive emissions events (number of events) ers notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the as Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature,	0	
ers notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the as Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature,		0%
as Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature,		
5 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
it Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations	0	0%
	ease Enter Yes or No	
ironmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
,	No	0%
	No	0%
	No	0%
Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 2)
otal 3)		
Adjustment Per	centage (Subt	total 3)
erson Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Adjustment Per	centage (Subt	total 7)
THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O		
Reduction for High Performer classification.		
	ironmental management systems in place for one year or more untary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director er a special assistance program ticipation in a voluntary pollution reduction program by compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal ernment environmental requirements Adjustment Per total 3) Adjustment Per terson Classification (Subtotal 7) Per Adjustment Per tummary Reduction for High Performer classification. Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage (Subty Adjustment)	lit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations e disclosed) Please Enter Yes or No ironmental management systems in place for one year or more No untary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director er a special assistance program No unicipation in a voluntary pollution reduction program No y compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal ernment environmental requirements Adjustment Percentage (Substitute) Adjustment Percentage (Substitute) Adjustment Percentage (Substitute) Reduction for High Performer classification. Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 2)

	a territoria de la compansión de la comp	18-Jun-2015 Docket No. 2015-1011-PST-E	PCW
	e ID No.		Policy Revision 4 (April 2014) ICW Revision March 26, 2014
Reg. Ent. Refere			-
		Petroleum Storage Tank Rebecca Boyett	
g . n	n Number	1	
Ru	ile Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1	
Violation Do	escription	Failed to monitor the underground storage tanks ("USTs") for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring). Specifically, Statistical Inventory Reconciliations ("SIR") for Janua 2015 through March 2015 were not conducted until May 11, 2015.	. 8 :
		Base Pena	1ty \$25,000
>> Environmenta	l, Proper	ty and Human Health Matrix	
	Release	Harm Major Moderate Minor	and the population of the popu
OR	Actual Potential	x Percent 15.0%	
		A COUNTY 15.0 //	
>>Programmatic	Matrix alsification	Major Moderate Minor	
Ė		Percent 0.0%	
			 1
Matrix Hu Notes		or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants that would exceed levotective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.	els
		Adjustment \$21,	250
			\$3,750
Violation Events			
Anna a gammanagan ann a na annagan ann an a a a a a			
	Number of \	violation Events 1 42 Number of violation days	
		daily	
W. W		weekly monthly	
	ark only one with an x	quarterly X Violation Base Pena	lty \$3,750
***************************************	WILLI GII X	semiannual	
0.000		annual single event	
[
	One quarter	ly event is recommended from the May 7, 2015 investigation date to the June 18 2015 screening date.	
Good Faith Efforts	s to Com		on \$0
		Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary	
		Ordinary	
		N/A x (mark with x)	
		Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	
		Violation Subto	tal \$3,750
Economic Benefit	(EB) for	this violation Statutory Limit Test	
	Estimat	ed EB Amount \$90 Violation Final Penalty To	tal \$3,395
		This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limi	ts) \$3,395

Case ID No.	Northline Ente 50775	CONOMIC erprises Inc. dba C	49 1921 1821889 (0.32889)	ipanian inn in	rksheet		
eg. Ent. Reference No. Media Violation No.	Petroleum Sto					Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$1,500	7-May-2015	15-Mar-2016	0.86	\$4	\$86	\$90
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a n/a	\$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs	(E					the USTs at the Fac mated date of comp	
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before			for one-time avoid	
Disposal	<u></u>			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
pection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/Equipment		4		0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							30
Approx. Cost of Compliance	<u> Г</u>	\$1,500			TOTAL		\$90

Screening Date		PCW
Kespondent Case ID No.	Northline Enterprises Inc. dba Quick Food Store 19	
Reg. Ent. Reference No.		evision March 26, 2014
Enf. Coordinator	Petroleum Storage Tank	
Violation Number	2	
Rule Cite(s)		
1,1,0 0,10(0)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.72	
	Failed to report a suspected release to the TCEQ within 24 hours of discovery.	
Violation Description	Specifically, SIR from January 2015 indicated a suspected release that was not	
	reported.	
	Base Penalty[\$25,000
>> Environmental, Proper	ty and Human Health Matrix	
Release	Harm Major Moderate Minor	
OR Actual		
Potential	Percent 0.0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	
	x Percent 5.0%	
Matrix		
Notes	100% of the rule requirement was not met.	
<u> </u>		
	Adjustment \$23,750	
	Augustinent \$25,150	
		\$1,250
Violation Events		
Number of V	/iolation Events 1 122 Number of violation days	
Number of V	iolation Events 1 122 Inditiber of violation days	
	dally	
	weekly	
	monthly	
mark only one with an x	quarterly Violation Base Penalty	\$1,250
With all X	semiannual	
	annual annual .	8
100	single event x	
	One single event is recommended.	
Language management and the second se		
Good Faith Efforts to Com	ply 0.0% Reduction	\$0
30 mm 200 000 000 300 300 000 000 000 000 000	Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
MA 1000	Extraordinary	
311414	Ordinary	
	N/A (mark with x)	
1000	The Descendent days not be good fallth suitage for	
onto the control of t	Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	
	- CHO VIOLEDOTE	
The second of th	Violation Subtotal	\$1,250
Economic Bounfs (ED) &-	this violation Statutory Limit Test	
Economic Benefit (EB) for	uns violation	
Estimate	ed EB Amount \$110 Violation Final Penalty Total	\$1,132
	- Ho	
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	\$1,132

	Petroleum Sto	rage Tank				Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	2	nickenin karaneliseles	factions are reconstants				Depreciation
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs I	nterest Saved	5.0 Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description							
ः । १८८२ मध्येष्यमध्याप्रसिक्षकात्त्रः स्वत्ववद्यस्य स्वत्ववद्यस्य । 						หรือเริ่มในกระบาที่ "สากเลราย อาสากเกรนโดยเกรา" (ค.ก. กร	
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling	\$100	7-May-2015	15-Mar-2016	0.86	\$4	n/a	\$4
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a l	\$0
_	i Estimated de	idyeu cust tu esta		inclic a pr	ocess for report	ing suspected releas	ses. The Date
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	Requi	ired is the investi	gation date and	the Final	Date is the esti	mated date of comp	llance. led costs)
Avoided Costs Disposal	Requi	ired is the investi	gation date and	the Final ontering 0.00	Date is the estinate of the properties of the pr	mated date of comp for one-time avoid \$0	liance. led costs) \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Requi	ired is the investi	gation date and	entering 0.00 0.00	Date is the estinate item (except 1 \$0 \$0	mated date of comp for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	liance. led costs) \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling	Requi	ired is the investi	gation date and	ntering 0.00 0.00 0.00	item (except 1 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	mated date of comp for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0	liance. led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment	Requi	ired is the investi	gation date and	ontering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	item (except state of	mated date of comp for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	lance. led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Disposal Personnel Disposal Dispo	Requi	ired is the investi	gation date and	entering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	item (except solutions) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	liance. SO SO
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Ispection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	ANNUAL	red is the investi	gation date and	ntering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	item (except \$0	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	led costs
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Requi	ired is the investi	gation date and	entering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	item (except solutions) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Screening Date		PCW
Respondent Case ID No.	Northline Enterprises Inc. dba Quick Food Store 19 50775	tevision March 26, 2014
Reg. Ent. Reference No.		
	Petroleum Storage Tank	* The second of
Enf. Coordinator Violation Number	Rebecca Boyett	
Rule Cite(s)		
	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334,74	
Violation Description	Failed to investigate a suspected release of regulated substance within 30 days of discovery. Specifically, SIR for January 2015 indicated a suspected release that was	
Violation Description	not investigated.	ı
ONGENERAL DE LA CONTRACTION DE		ī
uvaniiiiii		
2000000	Base Penalty	\$25,000
>> Environmental, Prope	ty and Human Health Matrix	
Release	Harm Major Moderate Minor	***************************************
OR Actual		
Potential	Percent 15.0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	
	Percent 0.0%	***************************************
		-
B	h or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants that would exceed levels rotective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.	
Notes that are p	rotective or number realth of environmental receptors as a result of the violations	
	Adjustment \$21,250	
	723/230 423/230 F	
and defendance	L	\$3,750
Violation Events		
Number of)	Violation Events 4 93 Number of violation days	
Mulliber of	notation Events 4 93 Notation days	*
300.000 PV	daily	
200702444444	weekly monthly x	
mark only one with an x	quarterly Violation Base Penalty	\$15,000
WILL BIT A	semiannual	
	annual single event	
Four monthly	v events are recommended from the March 17, 2015 suspected release investigation	
	due date to the June 18, 2015 screening date.	
		10
Good Faith Efforts to Com	ply	\$0
	Extraordinary	
	Ordinary	
	N/A X (mark with x)	
	Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for	
	this violation.	
	Violation Subtotal	\$15,000
		φ±3,000]
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation Statutory Limit Test	
Estimat	ed EB Amount \$249 Violation Final Penalty Total	\$13,580
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	\$13,580
	iiiis violaudii riilai Assesseu reilatty (aujusted für limits)	\$13,300

	Petroleum Sto					Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
Violation No.	3 Kenerangan					5.0	Depreciation
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description							
	neo commission a	bu is recebble of Dibution of the origin					
Delayed Costs							
Equipment		T T		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction		ĺ		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs							
		l l		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	£ .		duct an investig	1,00 ation o	\$249 of the suspected re	n/a elease and implemen	\$249 nt appropriate
	Estimated de corrective me	elayed cost to concasures. The Date	duct an investige Required is the national Date is the	1.00 lation of date estimal	\$249 of the suspected re- the suspected rele- ted date of complia	n/a elease and implement ase investigation wa	\$249 nt appropriate as due and the
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated de corrective me	elayed cost to concasures. The Date	duct an investige Required is the national Date is the	1.00 lation of date estimal	\$249 of the suspected re- the suspected rele- ted date of complia	n/a elease and implemer ase investigation wa ance.	\$249 nt appropriate as due and the
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	Estimated de corrective me	elayed cost to concasures. The Date	duct an investige Required is the national Date is the	1.00 dation of date estimal of the date estimal of the date estimal of the date estimates and the estimates and the date estimates and the date estimates and th	\$249 If the suspected rethe suspected releted date of compliant in the suspected releted date of compliant is supported to the suspect of th	n/a elease and implement ase investigation was ance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0	\$249 It appropriate as due and the led costs) \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Estimated de corrective me	elayed cost to concasures. The Date	duct an investige Required is the national Date is the	1.00 dation of the date estimal of the date of the dat	\$249 If the suspected refeted date of compliant (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a elease and implemer ase investigation wa ance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$249 It appropriate as due and the led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Estimated de corrective me	elayed cost to concasures. The Date	duct an investige Required is the national Date is the	1,00 dation of date estimal of the conterior of the content of the	\$249 If the suspected refethe suspected releaded date of compliance (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a elease and implemer ase investigation wa ance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$249 In appropriate as due and the led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated de corrective me	elayed cost to concasures. The Date	duct an investige Required is the national Date is the	1,00 action of date estimal 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$249 If the suspected reference date of compliance	n/a elease and implemer ase investigation wa ance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$249 Introduction states the states and the states and the states are states as the states are states as the states are states as the states are states ar
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated de corrective me	elayed cost to concasures. The Date	duct an investige Required is the national Date is the	1.00 attion of a date estimate of the content of th	\$249 If the suspected releted date of compliance If the suspected date of compliance If the sus	n/a elease and implemer ase investigation wa ance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$249 In appropriate as due and the selection of the sele
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated de corrective me	elayed cost to concasures. The Date	duct an investige Required is the national Date is the	1,00 action of date estimal 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$249 If the suspected reference date of compliance	n/a elease and implemer ase investigation wa ance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$249 Introduction states the states and the states and the states are states as the states are states as the states are states as the states are states ar

To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEQ Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.



TCEQ Compliance History Report

PUBLISHED Compliance History Report for CN603475682, RN101791770, Rating Year 2014 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2009, through August 31, 2014.

Customer, Respondent, CN603475682, Northline Enterprises Inc. Classification: HIGH

Rating: 0.00

or Owner/Operator: Regulated Entity:

RN101791770, Quick Food Store 19

Classification: HIGH

Rating: 0.00

Complexity Points:

Repeat Violator: NO

CH Group:

01 - Gas Stations with convenience Stores and other Gas Stations

Location:

204 E LITTLE YORK RD HOUSTON, TX 77076-1531, HARRIS COUNTY

TCEQ Region:

REGION 12 - HOUSTON

ID Number(s):

PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION

REGISTRATION 63984

Compliance History Period: September 01, 2009 to August 31, 2014

Rating Year: 2014

Rating Date: 09/01/2014

Date Compliance History Report Prepared: June 24, 2015

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Component Period Selected: June 18, 2010 to June 18, 2015

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Name: Rebecca Boyett

Phone: (512) 239-2503

Site and Owner/Operator History:

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

YES

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

NO

3) If **YES** for #2, who is the current owner/operator?

N/A

4) If YES for #2, who was/were the prior

N/A

owner(s)/operator(s)?

5) If **YES**, when did the change(s) in owner or operator N/A occur?

Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

N/A

B. Criminal convictions:

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events:

D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

Item 1

June 06, 2014

(1171226)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

N/A

N/A G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs): N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program: $_{\mbox{\scriptsize N/A}}$

J. Early compliance: N/A

F. Environmental audits:

Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	Š	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
NORTHLINE ENTERPRISES INC.	§	
DBA QUICK FOOD STORE 19	§	
RN101791770	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2015-1011-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On ________, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Northline Enterprises Inc. dba Quick Food Store 19 ("Respondent") under the authority of Tex. Water Code chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 204 East Little York Road in Houston, Harris County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Respondent's three underground storage tanks ("USTs") are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.
- 3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about June 12, 2015.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Eighteen Thousand One Hundred Six Dollars (\$18,106) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Four Hundred Fifteen Dollars

(\$415) of the administrative penalty and Three Thousand Six Hundred Twenty-One Dollars (\$3,621) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of Fourteen Thousand Seventy Dollars (\$14,070) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 35 monthly payments of Four Hundred Two Dollars (\$402) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
- 9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 11. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

1. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on May 7, 2015. Specifically, Statistical Inventory

Reconciliations ("SIR") for January 2015 through March 2015 were not conducted until May 11, 2015.

- 2. Failed to report a suspected release to the TCEQ within 24 hours of discovery, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.72, as documented during an investigation conducted on May 7, 2015. Specifically, SIR for January 2015 indicated a suspected release that was not reported.
- 3. Failed to investigate a suspected release of regulated substance within 30 days of discovery, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.74, as documented during an investigation conducted on May 7, 2015. Specifically, SIR for January 2015 indicated a suspected release that was not investigated.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Northline Enterprises Inc. dba Quick Food Store 19, Docket No. 2015-1011-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order:
 - i. Implement a method of release detection for the USTs at the Facility, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50;
 - ii. Establish and implement a process for reporting suspected releases, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.72; and

- iii. Conduct an investigation of the suspected release and implement appropriate corrective measures, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.74.
- b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision Nos. 2.a.i through 2.a.iii. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Waste Section Manager Houston Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 5425 Polk Avenue, Suite H Houston, Texas 77023-1486

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.

- The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which 7. together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
- 8. Pursuant to 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b) and Tex. Gov't Code § 2001.142, the effective date of this Order is the date it is signed by the Commission. A copy of this fully executed Order shall be provided to each of the parties.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission
For the Continussion
For the Executive Director Date 3/10/16
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.
 I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in: A negative impact on compliance history; Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted; Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency; Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions; Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law. In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.
1-20-16 Date
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of Northline Enterprises Inc. dba Quick Food Store 19

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.